Correction of errors of the Resolution of 14 December 2023, of the Rector of the University of Zaragoza, approving the call for grants for pre-doctoral contracts within the framework of the State Plan for Scientific, Technical and Innovation Research 2021-2023.

Having detected an error in the resolution of 14 December 2023, of the Rector of the University of Zaragoza, approving the call for applications for grants for pre-doctoral contracts within the framework of the State Plan for Scientific, Technical and Innovation Research 2021-2023, the error has been corrected by including a new paragraph in point 1 of article 12:

## Where it reads

## Article 12. Evaluation and selection of applications

1. Each Evaluation Committee shall draw up a report describing the evaluation and selection process and justifying the suitability of the person selected, on the basis of the above criteria and sub-criteria. This report will remain in the possession of the beneficiary entity, as its depositary, and may be requested at any time during the period of implementation or justification of the grant, without prejudice to the information to be provided in the interim monitoring report of the linked project. Reports may be requested from such external experts as may be deemed appropriate.
2.Applications will be the subject of a report on the suitability of the candidates' curricula for the research activities to be carried out by the Evaluation Committee, in accordance with the published evaluation criteria. They shall assess all applications assigned to their project and shall draw up an evaluation report for each application. This report will state the reasons for the result of the evaluation carried out.
2. For each of the grants associated with each research project, the application with the highest score in each of the projects shall be proposed for funding, provided that the applications have a score equal to or greater than 75 points. In the case of applications that obtain equal points and it is necessary to resolve the tie, the tie will be resolved in favour of the application with the highest score in Criterion 1 of Article 6 (Academic and/or scientific-technical background of the candidate). If the tie persists, priority will be given to the beneficiary being a woman.

Likewise, a list of reserve applications will be drawn up to replace the applicant proposed for award in the event of the latter's resignation, for each of the grants associated with each research project, ordered according to their score with all those applications that have a score equal to or higher than 75 points and that have not been proposed for funding.

## Article 12. Evaluation and selection of applications

1. Each Evaluation Committee shall be formed by three members of the research team of the project (preferably including one of the PIs of the project), or failing this, by other researchers from the same area of knowledge.

Each Evaluation Committee must draw up a report describing the evaluation and selection process and justifying the suitability of the person selected, on the basis of the above criteria and sub-criteria. This report will remain in the possession of the beneficiary entity, as its depositary, and may be requested at any time during the period of implementation or justification of the grant, without prejudice to the information to be provided in the interim monitoring report of the linked project. Reports may be requested from such external experts as may be deemed appropriate.
2.Applications will be the subject of a report on the suitability of the candidates' curricula for the research activities to be carried out by the Evaluation Committee, in accordance with the published evaluation criteria. They shall assess all applications assigned to their project and shall draw up an evaluation report for each application. This report will state the reasons for the result of the evaluation carried out.
3. For each of the grants associated with each research project, the application with the highest score in each of the projects shall be proposed for funding, provided that the applications have a score equal to or greater than 75 points. In the case of applications that obtain equal points and it is necessary to resolve the tie, the tie will be resolved in favour of the application with the highest score in Criterion 1 of Article 6 (Academic and/or scientific-technical background of the candidate). If the tie persists, priority will be given to the beneficiary being a woman.

Likewise, a list of reserve applications will be drawn up to replace the applicant proposed for award in the event of the latter's resignation, for each of the grants associated with each research project, ordered according to their score with all those applications that have a score equal to or higher than 75 points and that have not been proposed for funding.

In Zaragoza, on the date of signature, The Rector,

